7600.10.
203

No. RW/NHIII/COORD/86/84

Dated the 12th July, 1985

CIRCULAR

A reference is invited to Ministry's letter of even number dated the 18th March, 1985 addressed to all State Chief Engineers with copy endorsed to all Technical Officers, on the subject of Economic Analysis of major highway projects, followed by Ministry's letter of even number dated the 21st March, 1985, on the subject addressed to all Technical Officers dealing with roads in the Headquarter's Office of the Roads Wing.

2. A case study on Economic Analysis of Alternative Proposals for Pavement Widening of Shillong-Jowai Section of National Highway 44 in Meghalaya has been conducted using I.R.C. Publication 30 "Manual on Economic Evaluation of Highway Projects in India" and the newly developed Traffic Simulation Model. A copy of the Economic Analysis carried out is enclosed for illustrating the methodology and approach to be adopted for conducting similar evaluation studies.

Encl : As above.

To all Technical Officers dealing with Roads in the H.O.

CASE STUDY ON ECONOMIC ANALYSIS – ALTERNATIVE PROPOSALS FOR PAVEMENT WIDENING OF SHILLONG –JOWAI SECTION OF N.H. 44 IN MEGHALAYA

I. INTRODUCTION

Economic evaluation of Highway Projects has assumed special significance due to scarcity of resources and competing demands from various sections in a developing economy needing allocation of the scarce resources in the most beneficial and selective manner. Highway economic analysis, also called Highway Project appraisal, is a technique whereby the costs of and benefits from a scheme are quantified over a selected time horizon and measured by a common yardstick. The technique is also named as cost-benefit analysis.

2. SALIENT POINTS OF THE STUDY PROJECT

- 2.1 3.2 km stretch of Shillong-Jowai Section of NH. 44 in Meghalaya has been selected for economic evaluation of various alternative strategies of pavement widening. The work of widening of the reach Mile 31 and 32 of this section stands included in the annual plan 1984-85. At present, the reach has a signle lane pavement with inadequate formation width. The work of widening and strengthening of the carriageway to 5.5 metres (intermediate lane) up to 15th mile from Shillong has been sanctioned and is in progress.
- 2.2 Due to recent discovery of coal bearing area near Jowai, the traffic has significantly increased. The average daily trafficcount recorded on the 26th December, 1983 to 1st January, 1984 was :

Fast Moving vehicles :

i)	Cars, Jeeps, Vans etc.	450 nos.
ii)	Buses	114 nos.
iii)	Trucks	1022 nos.
iv)	Motor-cycles, Scooters	8 nos.
SLO	W MOVING VEHICLES	

i) Cycles

l no.

2.3 The terrain of N.H. 44 from Shillong to Jowai is predominantly hilly/rolling with sharp curves and steep gradients. The State P.W.D. has been requesting the Ministry to consider widening the carriageway to double lane in view of increasing traffic volume. Considering the overall financial implication of the pavement widening project covering a significant length of road vis-a-vis the prevailing resource constraints it was deemed prudent to conduct economic evaluation of the various alternative strategies for pavement widening, also using the recently developed traffic simulation model, so that a techno-economically viable alternative could be selected.

Various options considered were :

- i) Widening to two-lane pavement
- ii) Widening to intermediate lane pavement.
- iii) Retain the existing single lane ("Do nothing" or "Null" alternative)

24 PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC CONSIDERED FOR ANALYSIS

		Type of Vehicle	Traffic in 1983 in No. of vehicles per hour.		Ртој с 1986	cted Traffic 1991	1996
	i)	Cars, Jeeps & Vans		45	56	80	115
	ji)	Buses & Trucks		114	141	203	292
	iii)	Motor cycles		1	1	2	:
			Total	160	198	285	409
2.5	ASSU	MPTIONS MADE FOR ANALY	'SIS				
	i)	Peak hour traffic considered 10%	of ADT.				
	ii)	Growth rate as 7.5% per year.					
	iii)	Period of construction as 2 years					
	iv)	Equal directional distribution					
2.6	CUM	JLATIVE RISE AND FALL PE	R KILOMETRE				
	As con	nputed from the longitudinal pro	file of the road.				
	Ist km			=	= 31.53 m		
	2nd kn	n		-	= 29.85 m		
	3rd km	1		=	= 20.17 m		
	4th km	i (part)		=	= 13.0 m		
		In view of the prevailing gradien	ts, the terrain has been taken as ro	olling.			
TOT	AL TRA	NSPORTATION COST					
	It cons	sists of :					
	i)	Cost of construction of the facilit	ly initially				
	ii)	Periodic cost of maintaining the	facility over its design life.				
	iii)	Road user cost					
3.1	Initial	cost of construction of the facilit	y (widening and strengthening of	3.2 km stre	tch)		
	i)	FOR TWO-LANE PAVEMENT					
		Pavement widening			= Rs	43.34 lakhs	
		Formation widening			= Rs	26.31 la k hs	
					Rs	69.65 lakhs	
		ASSUME TWO YEARS CONST	FRUCTION PERIOD				
		Economic cost of road construct	ion and maintenance		= 0.8	(Financial cost)	
		Economic cost of construction in	1 1985		= ½×	< 69.65 × 0.8	
		Fernancia cost of construction is	- 1094		= Rs	27.86 lakhs	
					≈ KS.		
	11}	FOR INTERMEDIATE-LANE	PAVEMENT				
		Pavement widening			= Rs	27.01 lakhs	
		ronnanon widennig			- 13	20.31 188.03	
			1005		Rs :	53.92 lakhs	
		Economic cost of construction a	1 1985		= ½× = Rs∶	(53.92 × 0.8 21.57 lakhs	
		Economic cost of construction in	a 1986		= Rs	21.57 lakhs	
3.2	COST	OF MAINTENANCE					
	a)	Ordinary repairs per year					
		• •					

= Rs 37,600/-

ii)	For 1½ lane pavement	=	0.8 × 13,500 × 3.2 Rs 34,600/-
ііі)	For single lane pavement	=	0.8 × 12,500 × 3.2 Rs 32,000/-
(b) P	ERIODIC RENEWAL/3 YEAR		
i)	For two lane pavement	=	0.8 × 78300 × 3.2 Rs 2,00,400/-
ii)	For 11/2 lane pavement	=	0.8 × 61,500 × 3.2 Rs 1,57,400/-
iii)	For single lane pavement	=	0.8 × 40,950 × 3.2

4. DETERMINATION OF HIGHWAY COSTS

4.1 The first two components of the total transportation i.e. the cost of construction of the facility initially and the periodic cost of maintenance of the facility over its design life are known collectively as 'Highway Costs'.

Rs 1,04,800/-

- 4.2 The cost of construction of the facility includes :
 - i) Survey, investigation and design costs
 - ii) Lane acquisition costs
 - iii) Construction costs
 - iv) Physical contingences (unforeseen items and unforeseen increase in cost not attributable to escalation and unforeseen increase in quantities)
 - v) Supervision, quality control and administration charges.
- 4.3 The cost of maintenance of the facility includes :
 - i) Ordinary repairs, such as patch repairs, pot-hole filling, dressing earthwork etc.
 - ii) Periodic repairs, such as renewals and resurfacing
 - iii) Operational expenses, such as traffic signals, traffic aid posts, lighting, policing etc.
 - iv) Supervision and administration charges.
- 4.4 When dealing with the highway costs, it is necessary to phase the same year by year. Similarly in the case of maintenance costs, the year-by-year costs have to be identified.
- 4.5 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ECONOMIC COSTS AND FINANCIAL COSTS

In economic analysis, one is concerned with economic costs and not financial costs. Economic costs are based on the "opportunity cost" of each of the constituents of the cost, such as labour, material and machinery. In order to device the economic costs, these constituents have to be isolated, quantified and adjusted on the basis of certain principles.

4.6 SHADOW PRICING

Adjustments needed in the prices of goods and wages to make them reflect truly their market value are known as shadow pricing.

- (i) Shadow wage rate is half the actual wages paid.
- (ii) There is no need to shadow-price for semi-skilled and skilled labour since there is generally a scarcity of these categories and the market wages more or less reflect this situation.
- (iii) Shadow-price foreign exchange at 20% above the official rate.
- (iv) For commodities produced both locally and imported (e.g. fuel oil), border price should be considered (c.i.f. price).
- (v) Taxes like import duty, excise duty and sales tax and licence fees levied by the Govt. on a number of items are not considered in the economic cost. (These are in fact transfer payments within the economy).
- (vi) Subsidies granted to certain commodities (e.g. levy cement) should be disregarded.

The above principles of shadow pricing apply equally to the cost stream (highway construction and maintenance cost) and the benefit stream (road user benefits).

4.7 TREATMENT OF INFLATION

Escalation and inflation, both on the cost stream and the benefit stream, are disregarded.

4.8 INTEREST ON CAPITAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION :

Since Highway projects in India are at present financed solely from Govt. funds, there is no need to include yearly interest on the initial cost of construction in economic analysis.

5. ROAD USER COST

It is composed of the following main components.

- (i) Vehicle operating cost
- (ii) Time cost
- (iii). Accident cost

5.1 VEHICLE OPERATING COST

- 5.1.1 Cost of operation of vehicles is a function of :
 - i) Pavement width
 - ii) Rise and fall of road
 - iii) Road roughness
 - Formulae used :

Log	VOCB	-	0.5972	+	1.2253	+	0.0112 RF	+	0.000037 RG
				_	w	-			
Loge	VOCT		0.5869	+	1.1999	+	0.00982 RF	+	0.000025 RG
				_	w	-			
Loge	VOCC	=	0.7351	+	1.2201	+	0.0148 RF	+	0.000076 RG
						-			

VOCB Cost of operation of buses/trucks/cars per km (in Rs/km) exclusive of taxes. VOCT

VOCC

W = Pavement width in metres

RF = Cumulative rise and fall measured from the vertical profile of the road in terms of the metres of rise and fall per kilometre.

RG = Roughness in mm/km

Cost of operation of two wheelers = $\frac{1}{5}$

- 5.1.2 Cost of operation of various categories of vehicles (car, bus, truck and two wheeler) have been worked out in Rs/vehicle for alternative pavement widths and horizon years 1986, 1991 and 1996 and are shown in table 1. For facility of illustrating the technique of economic analysis the period of analysis has been reckoned as 10 years.
- Table I: Cost of operation of vehicles for the study stretch for alternative pavement widths in years 1986, 1991 and 1996 in Rs/ vehicle.

Pavement width and year		C	ost of operation in R	ls/vehicle	
		VOCC	VOCB	VOCT	VOCTW
Two	1986	3.62	10.87	9.85	0.72
Lane	1991	3.76	11.07	9.97	0.75
	1996	3.90	11.28	10.09	0.78
Intermediate	1986	3.79	11.40	10.32	0.76
lane	1991	3.94	11.61	10.45	0.79
	1996	4.09	11.83	10.58	0.82
Single	1986	4.58	13.23	11.80	0.92
Lane	1991	4.58	13.23	11.80	0.92
	1996	4.58	13.23	11.80	0. 92

Note : Cost of operation for intermediate years may be interpolated.

5.1.3 Following assumptions have been made with regard to the roughness values in the absence of actual roughness measurements. With the acquisition of roughometers it should be possible to quantify the actual values.

	Roughness values in mm/km.			
Pavement width	1986	1991	1996	
Two-lane	4000	4500	5000	
Intermediate-lane	4000	4500	5000	
Single-lane	5000	5000	5000	

5.2 TRAVEL TIME SAVINGS

5.2.1 Savings in travel time are enjoyed by bus passengers, car passengers and two-wheeler riders. These are also relevant in respect of the commodity in transit. The following values at 1984 price level have been used in the present analysis based on results from the Road User Cost Study.

(i)	Car and two wheeler passengers	Work trip — Rs 13.13/hour
		Non work trip — Rs 3.28/hour
(ii)	Bus passengers	Work trip Rs 7.19/hour
		Non Work trip — Rs 1.80 hour
(iii)	Commodity in transit	— Rs 3.00/hour

Take work trips as 75 per cent of total and non work trips as 25 per cent of total.

5.2.2 The occupancy of cars, two wheelers and buses should be found from actual surveys. In absence thereof, the following values have been assumed.

Average occupancy of a car	4.0
Average occupancy of a two-wheeler	1.5
Average occupancy of a bus	43.0

5.2.3 Based on the above assumptions, value of travel time per vehicle is worked out as below.

Value of travel time per car	-	(0.75 × 13.13 + 0.25 × 3.28) × 4 Rs 42.67/hour
Value of travel time per bus	- -	(0.75 × 7.19 + 0.25 × 1.80) × 43 Rs 25.23/hour
Value of travel time per two-wheeler	= _	$(0.75 \times 13.13 \pm 0.25 \times 3.28) \times 1.5$ Rs 16.00/bour

5.24 For computation of travel time cost, vehicle speed is another parameter needing quantification. This has been arrived at by the use of the recently developed Traffic Simulation Model. For single lane pavement journey speeds could not be simulated. These have, therefore, been worked out on the basis of speed-volume relationships recommended in ⁶Manual on Economic Evaluation of Highway Projects in India' (IRC — Special Publication 30) as given below :

Considering the terrain as rolling, journey speeds on single-lane pavement are :

V _C	(Speed of cars)		42.43 — 0.084 HV
V _B	(Speed of buses)	=	40.07 — 0.069 HV
V _T	(Speed of trucks)	=	37.05 — 0.052 HV
V _{TW}	(Speed of two-wheelers)	=	37.69 — 0.052 HV
-	Where HV	=	Hourly traffic volume (No. of vehicles in peak hour)
		=	0.1 ADT
ADT		=	Average daily traffic in number of veh

The average simulated/calculated journey speeds in km/hour for various vehicles types are indicated in table 2.

icles.

Cost of travel time for various vehicle types in Rs/vehicle are shown in table 3.

5.3 ACCIDENT COST SAVINGS

These have not been taken into account in the present case since scant data with regard to the accident rate and costing is available which may be inadequate to provide a basis for inclusion in economic analysis at the present. It may be possible to do so after a satisfactory level of data bank has been achieved.

5.4 BENEFITS FROM HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENTS

The benefits from highway improvements can be classified as :--

(i) ROAD USER BENEFITS

- (a) Vehicle operating cost savings.
- (b) Value of travel time savings
- (c) Value of savings in accident costs
- (ii) SOCIAL BENEFTIS
 - (a) Improvements in environmental standards i.e. air and noise pollution, aesthetics etc.
 - (b) Improvements in agriculture, industry, trade and mining.
 - (c) Improvements in health and education.
 - (d) Improvements in administration, law and order and defence.

It is at present possible to quantify only the direct road user benefits. Full quantification of benefits can be possible only after evaluation of other aspects is possible on the basis of further research. In the present case study, therefore, only the direct road user benefits have been considered.

6. TECHNIQUE OF ECONOMIC EVALUATION

6.1 The basic objective of the economic analysis is to determine the most ideal solution from among a number of alternatives. In the case study the two alternative options i.e. intermediate laning or two-laning of the existing single lane pavement have been evaluated and compared with the "do-nothing" alternative.

6.2 METHODS OF ECONOMIC EVALUATION :

Three common methods of economic evaluation are :--

- (i) Net present Value Method
- (ii) Internal Rate of Return Method
- (iii) Benefit/Cost Ratio Method

All these methods are based on the Discounted Cash Flow Technique of discounting all future costs and benefits to a common year. In this case the base year chosen is 1985.

6.2.1 NET PRESENT VALUE (NPV) METHOD

In this method, the stream of costs/benefits associated with the project over a specified period of time is calculated and discounted at a selected discount rate to yield the present value. Benefits are taken as positive and costs as negative and the summation yields the NPV. Any Project with a positive NPV is reckoned as acceptable. While comparing more than one project, a project with the higher NPV is accepted.

62.2 BENEFIT/COST (B/C) RATIO METHOD

All costs and benefits are discounted to their present worth and the ratio of the benefits to costs is calculated. If the B/C ratio is more than one, the project is deemed worth implementation.

6.2.3 INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (IRR) METHOD

The internal rate of return is the discount rate which makes the discounted future benefits equal to the initial outlay i.e. it is the discount rate which makes the stream of cash flows to zero.

The solution for the appropriate discount rate can be found by trial and error. In the present analysis a graphical technique has been used and found to be quite workable. Three alternative discount rates are chosen and the discounted stream of cash flows is calculated. A graphical plot of discount rates versus net cash flow enables accurate determination of IRR, which is the discount rate which yields zero cash flow.

No doubt with a computer programme, the work is rendered very simple.

63 METHOD CHOSEN FOR THE CASE STUDY

IRR method has been selected for the case study in view of the following advantages :-

- (i) The computed rate of return can be easily compared with the market rate of interest.
- (ii) It avoids the need for selecting a discount rate initially.
- (iii) It is well-suited for use in CAD model.
- (iv) It is popular with international lending agencies like the World Bank.

In order to tide over the tedious computations, graphical method, as explained above, has been used rendering the computation work simpler. Manual analysis had to be resorted to since the software (programme) for evaluation in respect of intermediate/two lane strategies is not presently available. Computer programme has so far been developed for pavement widths more than two lane pavements.

7. COMPUTATIONS FOR ECONOMIC EVALUATION :

7.1 Based on costs of operation and travel time for various vehicles indicated in Table 2 and 3 and cost of construction and maintenance worked out in para 3 above, the transportation cost comprising of costs of construction, maintenance, vehicle operation and travel time has been calculted yearwise for the analysis period 1985-1986, and for single lane, inter-

mediate lane and two-lane pavements and shown in tables 4 and 5. The traffic has been projected for different years based on 7.5% anticipated growth rate and is shown in table 4.

- 7.2 Tables 6, 7, 8 and 9 show the IRR calculation for the following options :
 - (i) Table-6 for intermediate lane pavement vis-a-vis single lane, without considering cost of travel time.
 - (ii) Table-7 for two lane pavement vis-a-vis single lane, without considering cost of travel time.
 - (iii) Table-8 for intermediate lane pavement vis-a-vis single lane, considering cost of travel time.
 - (iv) Table-9 for two-lane pavement vis-a-vis single lane, considering cost of travel time. Graphical plots for determination of IRR for the above options are shown in Annexures I & IL
- 7.3 For discounting the future benefits and cost to the present worth (base year 1985), necessary table showing present worth (PW) factors against number of years is annexed (Annexure III)

8. CONCLUSIONS

The computations as shown in the above noted tables yield the following IRR values.

		IRR VALUE			
	Widening strategy	Without time	With time cost		
		cost			
1.	Intermediate-lane pavement	21.2%	48.6%		
2.	Two-lane pavement	21.75%	50.4%		

As may be observed form the above results, the alternative proposal for widening the existing single lane to two lane pavement yields slightly higher economic return as compared to intermediate lane strategy (50.4% IRR against 48.6%). In addition it affords better travel speeds as may be seen from table 2. Additionally it affords better level of service for the traffic with diminished accident risks due to lesser congestion. Moreover the differential economic return should be higher for two lane option in case the normal 20 year analysis period is considered.

Keeping all these considerations in view, it was decided to go in for two-laning of the study stretch.

TABLE 2 : AVER AGE SIMULATED/CALCULATED JOURNEY SPEEDS FOR VEHICLE TYPES IN KM/HOUR

PAVEMENT WIDTH & YE	4.R	AVERAGE JOURNEY SPEED IN KM/HOUR						
		CAR	BUS	TRUCK	TWO WHEELER			
TWO LANE	1986	43.15	36.15	36.15	43.15			
	1991	42.40	35.80	35.80	42.40			
	1996	40.05	34.55	34.55	40.05			
INTERMEDIATE LANE	1986	34.75	30.30	30.30	34.75			
_	1 991	32.05	28.95	28.95	32.05			
	1996	28.70	26.25	26.25	28.70			
SINGLE LANE	1986	25.80	26.40	26.80	27.40			
_	1991	18.50	20.40	22.23	22.87			
	1996	8.07	11.85	15.78	16.42			

7600/1	7

TABLE 3 : COST OF TRAVEL TIME FOR VARIOUS VEHICLE TYPES IN RS/VEHICLE

Year	Тгач	Travel cost/car			Travel cost/bus			Travel cost/truck			Travel cost/two wheeler		
	Two Lane	1½ Lane	Single Lane	Two Lane	1½ Lanc	Single Lane	Two Lane	1½ Lane	Single Lanc	Two Lane	15 Lane	Single Lane	
1986	3.17	3.94	5.31	22.31	26.62	30.55	0.27	0.32	0.36	1.19	1.48	1.87	
1987	3.19	4.01	5.72	22.35	26.86	32.31	0.27	0.32	0.37	1.19	1.50	1.95	
1988	3.20	4.07	6.13	22.40	27.11	34.06	0.27	0.32	0.39	1.20	1.53	2.02	
1989	3.21	4.14	6.54	22.44	27.36	35.82	0.27	0.33	0.40	1.20	1.55	2.10	
1990	3.22	4.21	6.95	22.48	27.61	37.58	0.27	0.33	0.42	1.21	1.58	2.17	
1 99 1	3.23	4.27	7.36	22.53	27.86	39.34	0.27	0.33	0.43	1.21	1.60	2.24	
1992	3.27	4.37	9.23	22.69	28.43	45.02	0.27	0.34	0.47	1.23	1.64	2.42	
1993	3.31	4.47	11.10	22.85	29.00	50.71	0.27	0.35	0.50	1.24	1.68	2.59	
1994	3.34	4.57	12.97	23.01	29.58	56.40	0.27	0.35	0.54	1.25	1.71	2.76	
1995	3.38	4.67	14.84	23.18	30.15	62.08	0.28	0.36	0.57	1.27	1.75	2.94	
1996	3.42	4.77	16.70	23.34	30.72	67.77	0.28	0.37	0.61	1.28	1.79	3.11	

TABLE 4: TRANSPORTATION COST FOR TWO LANE PAVEMENT

ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSAND RUPEES

Year	Cost of	Cost of	Traf	fic Volun	ne (ADT)	C	Cost of		Cost of	Travel Tir	ne	
	const.	Mainte- nance	с	В	Т	TW of	ehicle – peration	С	В	Т	тw	Total
1985	2,786	_	520	132	1,181	9	_	_	-	_	_	_
1986	2,786	_	559	142	1,270	10	_	_	-	_	_	_
1987	_	38	601	152	1,365	11	6326	699	1,240	133	5	2,077
1988	_	38	646	164	1467	11	6,823	754	1,341	143	5	2,243
1989	-	238	694	176	1,577	12	7,357	813	1,441	154	5	2,413
1990	_	38	747	189	1,696	13	7,938	878	1,551	166	6	2,601
1991	_	38	803	203	1,823	14	8,559	947	1,669	179	6	2,801
1992	_	238	862	219	19 59	15	9231	1028	1,814	194	7	3,043
1993	_	38	927	235	2,106	16	9,955	1119	1,960	210	7	3,296
1994		38	997	253	2,264	18	10,739	1217	2,125	227	8	3,577
1995	_	238	1,072	272	2,434	19	11,583	1323	2,301	246	9	3,879
1 996	_	38	1,152	292	2,617	20	12,492	1438	2,488	266	9	4.201

TABLE 5 : TRANSPORTATION COST FOR INTERMEDIATE LANE AND SINGLE LANE PAVEMENT

ALL AMOUNTS IN THOUSAND RUPEES

Year	Cost of Const	Cost of Construction			Cost of vehicle	operation	Cost of Travel time		
	Intermediate Lane	Single I	Intermediate Lane	Single Lane	Intermediate Lane	Single I Lane	intermediate Lane	Single Lane	
1985	2,157	_	-	32	_	_	_		
1986	2,157	_	_	137	_		_	_	
1987	-	_	35	32	6,630	7,627	2,535	3,241	
1988	_	_	35	32	7,151	8,196	2,763	3,700	
1989	_	_	192	137	7,711	8,808	3,002	4,199	
1990	_	_	35	32	8,319	9,472	3,263	4,756	
1991	_	_	35	32	8,971	10,181	3,546	5,371	
1992	_	_	192	137	9,674	10,943	3,902	6,851	
1993	_	_	35	32	10,433	11,763	4,278	8,507	
1994	_	_	35	32	11,255	12,648	4,698	10,390	
1995	_	_	192	137	12,140	13,598	5,153	12,498	
1996	_	_	35	32	13,092	14,617	5,644	14,852	

TABLE 6 : LR.R. CALCULATIONS FOR INTERMEDIATE LANE PAVEMENT WITHOUT TIME COST

ALL AMOUNTS ARE IN TRHOUSAND RUPEES Year Cost of Operation Benefit Construction and Cost Discounted by 20% Discounted by 21% Discounted by 22% to base year 1985 to base year 1985 to base year 1985 (V.O.C.) (Saving Maintenance cost V.O.C.) Interme-Interme-Single Single Benefit Cost Benefit Cost Benefit Cost Lane diate Lane diate **(B)** (C) **(B)** (C) **(B)** (C) Lane Lane 1 2 3 5 6 7=6-5 8 9 10 11 4=2-3 12 13 1985 32 2,157 2,125 2,125 2,125 _ 2,125 _ 1986 137 2,157 2,020 1,683 1,669 1,656 _ _ . ___ 6,630 997 681 1987 7,627 32 35 3 692 2 2 670 2 1988 8,196 7,151 1.045 32 35 3 605 2 590 2 575 2 1989 8,808 7,711 1,097 137 192 55 529 27 512 26 495 25 3 463 445 1990 9,472 8,319 1,153 32 35 427 1 1 l 8,971 35 3 405 386 1991 10,181 1210 32 1 1 367 1 1992 10,943 9,674 1,269 137 192 55 354 15 334 14 315 14 3 309 289 32 35 271 1993 11,763 10,433 1,330 1 1 1 1994 12:648 11.255 1,393 32 35 3 270 I 251 1 233 1 55 9 137 192 235 217 199 1995 13,598 12,140 1,458 8 8 1,525 1996 14,617 13,092 32 35 3 205 187 171 _ _ _ Total= 4,067 3,867 3,892 3,850 3,723 3,836 (B-C) + 209 + 42 113

TABLE 7: LR.R. CALCULATIONS FOR TWO LANE PAVEMENT WITHOUT TIME COST

ALL AMOUNTS ARE IN THOUSAND RUPEES

Year	Cost of ((V,0	Cost of Operation Benefit Construction and Co (V.O.C.) (Saving in Maintenance cost V.O.C.)						at Discounted by 20% Discounted by 21% Discounted by 229 to base year 1985 to base year 1985. to base year 1985							
	Single Lanc	Two Lane Pavement	- : I	Single 1 Lane I	wo Lane, Pavement		Denefit (B)	Cos (C	и В. С)	enefit (B)	Cost (C)	Benefit (B)	Cost (C)		
1		2 2	3 4=2-	3 5	6	7=	-6-5	8	9		10		2 _1		
1985	_		· –	32	2,786	2,754	1 -	_	2,754	_	- 2,75-	4 —	. 2,754		
1986	_		· _	137	2,786	2,649) .	_	2,208	-	- 2,189	ə	- 2,171		
1987	7,627	6,326	5 1,301	32	38		5 90	3	4	88	9 4	4 874	4		
1968	8,196	5 6,82 3	1,373	32	38	6	5 79	5	3	77.	s :	3 756	; 3		
1989	8,808	7,357	1,451	137	238	101	l 70	0	49	67	7 4	7 655	i 4 6		
1990	9,472	2 7,938	1,534	32	38	e	5 61	6	2	59	1 2	2 568	3 2		
1991	10,181	8,559	1,622	32	38		s 54	13	2	51	7 :	2 492	1 2		
1992	10,943	9,231	1,712	137	238	101	4	8	28	45	1 2	7 426	i 25		
1993	11,763	9,955	i 1, 9 08	32	38	ť	5 43	b	1	39	3	1 368	1		
1994	12,648	10,739	1,909	32	38	e	5 30	0	1	34	3	1 319	1 1		
1995	13,598	11,583	2,015	137	238	101	L 32	5	16	30	0 1:	5 276	i 14		
1996	14,617	12,492	2,125	32	38		5 2 1	6	1	26	1	1 238	; 1		
					T	otal =	5,43	6	5,0 69	5,19	7 5,04	5 4,972	2 5,024		
					0	B-C)	+	367			+ 151		-52		

(B-C)

367

TABLE 8 : LR.R. CALCULATIONS FOR INTERMEDIATE LANE PAVEMENT WITH TIME COST

ALL AMOUNTS ARE IN THOUSAND RUPEES

Year	Cost of Operation Benefit and Time cost			Construction and Maintenance cost		Cost Di		Discounted by 30% to base year 1985		Discounted by 50% to base year 1985		Discounted by 40% to base year 1985		
	Single Lanc	Interme- diate Lane		Single Lane	h đi L	uterme- iste		Ber (!	nefit B)	Cest (C)	Benefit (B)	Cost (C)	Benefit ((B)	Cost (C)
1	2	3	4=2-3	<u> </u>	5	6	7-4	5-5	8	9	10) 11	12	13
1985	-	_	_		32	2,157	2,12	5	_	2,125	· -	- 2,125	_	2,125
1986	_		_		137	2,157	2,02	0		1,554	+ -	- 1,347	_	1,443
1987	10,868	9 ,16 5	1,703		32	35		3	1008	2	. 7 5	7 I	869	2
1988	11, 896	9 ,914	1,982		32	35		3	-902	2	58	7 1	722	1
1989	13,007	10,713	2,294	ļ	137	192	5	5	803	19	45	3 11	59 7	14
1990	14,228	11,582	2,646	i	32	35		3	713	1	34	8	492	1
1991	15,552	1 2,51 7	3,035		32	35		3	629	1	26	6 —	403	
1992	17,794	13,576	4,218		137	192	5	5	672	9	24	7 3	400	5
199 3	20,270	14,711	5,559	•	32	35		3	681	1	21	7 —	377	_
1994	23,038	15,953	7,085	5	32	35		3	668	_	18	4 —	343	_
1995	26,996	17,293	8,8 03		137	192	5	5	639	4	15	3 1	304	2
1996	29, 46 9	18,736	10,733		32	35		3	599		12	4	265	
					T- (#	otal= B-C)	7,31	4	3,718 +3596	3,336	5 3,48 -15	9 4,772 3	3,593 +1,179	

TABLE 9: LR.R. CALCULATIONS FOR TWO LANE PAVEMENT WITH TIME COST

ALL AMOUNTS ARE IN THOUSAND RUPEES

Ycar	Cost of (and Tr	Cost of Operation and Time Cost		Construction and C Maintenance cost		Cost	Discoun to	ited by 30% 1985	Discount to	ited by 50% 1985	Discount to	ted by 40% 1985
	Single Lane	Two Lane	_	Single Lane	Two Lane	-	Benefit (B)	Cost (C)	Benefit (B)	Cost (C)	Benefit (B)	Cost (C)
1	2	3	4=2- 3	5	6	7 =-6 -5	-8	9	10	11	12	13
1985					32 2,78	5 2,75	4 -	- 2,754	• –	- 2,754	• —	2,754
1986	-		÷	• 1	37 2,78	5 2,64	9 -	- 2,038	3 —	- 1,766	s —	1,892
1987	10 ,86 8	8 8,40	3 2,465	5	32 34	3 (6 1,45	9 4	109	6 3	3 1,258	3
1988	11, 89 0	5 9 ,06	6 2,830)	32 31	3 (6 1,28	8 3	8 839	9 2	2 1,031	2
1989	13,007	9,77	0 3,237	1 1	37 23	3 10	1 I,13	3 35	5 639	9 20	843	26
1990	14,222	3 10,53	9 3,689		32 34	3 (6 9 9	4 2	2 480	6]	686	1
1991	15,552	2 11,36	0 4,192	2	32 31	3 (6 86	8 1	36	B 1	557	1
1992	17, 79 4	12,27	4 5,520) 1	37 23	3 10	1 88	0 10	5 32	3 (5 524	10
1 9 93	20,270	13,25	1 7,019		32 38	3 (6 86	i0 1	274	4	476	
1994	23,038	14,31	6 8,722	2	32 38	3 (6 82	2 1	22	7 -	- 422	_
1995	26,090	5 15 ,4 6	2 10,634	1	37 23	3 10	1 77	1 7	7 184	4 2	2. 368	3
1 996	29,469	16,69	3 12,776	i	32 31	<u>}</u>	6 71	3	- 14	<u> </u>	- 315	
						Total= (B-C)	9,78	8 4,862 +4926	2 4,584 5	4 4,555 +29	5 6,480)	4,69 2 +1788





ANNEXURE III

No. of Years		PW Factors	
	Discount Rate 20%	Discount Rate 21%	Discount Rate 22%
1	0.8333	0.8264	0.8197
2	0.6944	0.6830	0.6719
3	0.5787	0.5645	0.5507
4	0.4823	0.4665	0.4514
5	0.4019	0.3855	0.3700
6	0.3349	0.3186	0.3033
7	0.2791	0.2633	0.2486
8	0.2326	0.2176	0.2038
9	0.1938	0.1799	0.1670
10	0.1615	0.1486	0.1369
11	0.1346	0.1228	0.1122
12	0.1122	0.1015	0.0920
13	0.0935	0.0839	0.0754
14	0.0779	0.0693	0.0618
15	0.0649	0.0573	0.0507

PRESENT WORTH FACTORS FOR FUTURE BENEFITS/COSTS

